IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
And
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION Nos.16419, 17810 & 18679 OF 2006

Between:

E.Pushpalatha

Petitioner

AND

The Station House Officer,
Excise Police Station,
Anantapur and others

Respondents

Dated: 11th September, 2006

ORAL COMMON ORDER:

(Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Home, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. All the abovementioned writ petitions are taken up on the basis of three different telegrams issued by the

petitioner, to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, alleging that her daughter Miss Kalpana, aged 15 years, was illegally taken away by the Excise and Prohibition Police, Ananthapur, on 23.7.2006 at 1.00 p.m. and the police are not taking appropriate action and further the petitioner was being threatened by the Excise officials to withdraw the cases. She further complains that the police are not tracing the petitioner's daughter.

3. As per the directions of this Court, the petitioner and the alleged girl by name Miss Kalpana are present before this Court today.

4. In response to the notice issued by this Court, a counter-affidavit is filed by the Inspector, Prohibition and Excise Station, Anantapur, stating, *inter alia*, that there are as many as three cases pending against the petitioner, who is no other than the mother of the alleged detenue Miss Kalpana. It is further stated that against the petitioner, her father, mother and two brothers there are various cases pending under the A.P. Prohibition and Excise Act, 1995, details of which have been mentioned in the counter-affidavit.

5. It is rather deplorable that this Court is being utilized as a dais for making false claims or in order to escape from the officials in connection with various cases. That is the reason why the same complainant has issued three telegrams on different dates. We can see the real intention and oblique motive of the petitioner in issuing the telegrams, one after the other, obviously to escape from the teeth of law.

6. Therefore, *prima facie*, we do not find any substance in the abovementioned writ petitions. However,

we feel it expedient to close the abovementioned writ petitions with a caution to the petitioner being a lady not to resort to such action of complaint making to this Court.

7. Accordingly, all the abovementioned writ petitions are closed. No order as to costs.

_____

D.S.R. VARMA, J.

_____

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J.

September 11, 2006
MAS.